

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/474,916	12/29/1999	YOSHIAKI NANKO	SIC-99-036	2926
29863	7590 04/02/2002			
DELAND LAW OFFICE			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 69 KLAMATH RIVER, CA 96050-0069			CHARLES, MARCUS	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	3682	
•			DATE MAILED: 04/02/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	SIL	
_		

		OIC.
3	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/474,916	NANKO ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Marcus Charles	3682
The MAILING DATE of this commun Period for Reply	ication appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum state Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months a earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a nunication. 0) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi atutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI will, by statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) file	led on <u>03 <i>January 2002</i></u> .	
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract Disposition of Claims	n for allowance except for formal ma tice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	atters, prosecution as to the merits is .D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4) Claim(s) 1-36 is/are pending in the	application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,5,11-13,</u>	<i>16-18,26-30,33 and 34</i> is/are withdi	rawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6-10,14,15,19-25,31,</u>	32,35,36 and 38 is/are rejected.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election requirement.	•
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by th		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		
••	jection to the drawing(s) be held in abe	
11) The proposed drawing correction file	ì	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are re		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(5) (4) = 2 (0
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim	n for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C.	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority		
2. Certified copies of the priority	•	
 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interest * See the attached detailed Office action 	national Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a))	
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign la15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F	PTO-948) 5) Notice o	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Art Unit: 3682

DETAILED ACTION

This action is responsive to the amendment filed 01-03-2002, which has been entered. Claim 37 has been canceled. Claims 1-36 are currently pending.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 4, 8-10,14-15, 19-20, 35 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Guiles('658) Liu discloses a drive mechanism comprising a crank arm (12) having a crank axle hole (21) around a rotational axis, a drive member (20) supported coaxially with the rotational axis. Liu discloses the drive member has an abutment (252) facing the forward direction but does not disclose a non-concave first slope facing the rearward rotational direction of the crank arm.

 Guiles discloses a drive member (9) having a non-concave slope facing the rearward rotational direction of the crank arm (8) in order to reduce the weight and to avoid frictional contact during operation. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the drive member of Liu to include the limitation of Guiles in order to reduce the weight and to avoid frictional contact during operation.

In claim 2, note the first abutment surface is perpendicular to the outer peripheral surface of the crank arm.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,916

Art Unit: 3682

In claim 4, the drive member is coaxial with the rotational axis.

In claim 9, note the first abutment surface is 180 degrees from the second abutment.

In claim 19-20, note, the pedal mounting hole at the opposite ends of the right side crank arm.

In claim 35, the drive member has only two abutment surfaces (252, 261).

- 3. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in Guiles and Yamanaka('072). Liu in view of Guiles discloses the claimed invention in paragraph 2 above, except for the splines in the crank axle-mounting hole. Yamanaka discloses the splines in the mounting hole of the crank axle in order to mate with the crank spindle and to non-rotatably receiving the crank spindle. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the mounting hole of Liu to include splines in view of Yamanaka in order to mate with the crank spindle and to non-rotatably receiving the crank spindle.
- 4. Claims 32 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Guiles. Liu discloses the claimed invention except that the outer peripheral surface which intersect the radial inner portion of the abutment surface extend for at least 20°. It would have been a matter of obvious design choice to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the peripheral surface such that the abutment surface extends at an angle of at least 20°, since applicant has not disclosed that having the surface extend for at any specified angle solves any stated problem or is for any particular purpose and it appears that the abutment surface would

Application/Control Number: 09/474,916 Page 4

Art Unit: 3682

perform equally well with a surface extending at any angle that falls within a desirable specified range.

- 5. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Guiles as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Browning. Liu does not disclose a sprocket-mounting member for mounting a sprocket to the crank arm. Browning discloses a sprocket mounting member (51) comprising four mounting arms (52), a large diameter sprocket and a small diameter sprocket are retained to the mounting member in order to make the system easy to operate and to increase the ease during replacement of sprockets. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to further modify Liu device to include the limitations of Browning in order to make the system easy to operate and to increase the ease during replacement of sprockets.
- 6. Claims 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Liu in view of Guiles and Hsu. Liu in view of Guiles discloses the claimed invention as in paragraph 2 above, except for a large and small diameter sprocket retained to a sprocket-mounting member of the crank axle. Hsu discloses a sprocket mounting member which has a large and small diameter sprocket (1, 2) retained to the sprocket member and a shift assist mechanism (13) on the larger sprocket in order to cause the chain to run smoothly from the large to the small sprockets during gear down.

 Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Liu device to include the limitations of Hsu in order to cause the chain to run smoothly from the large to the small sprockets during gear down.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/474,916

Art Unit: 3682

Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Guiles in 7. view of/Yamanaka('072)/ Guiles discloses a drive mechanism comprising a crank arm (8) which includes a rotational axis (1), a drive member (9) which comprises an annular ring (9) with an abutment (10) facing a forward rotational direction, a non-concave first sloped surface extending from a radially outer portion of the abutment and facing a rearward rotational direction. Guiles discloses a key way in the ring and crank arm, but does not disclose the inner peripheral surface of the ring has splines that engage the plurality of splines of the crank arm. Yang discloses a drive ring (161) includes a plurality of splines that engage a plurality of splines in a crank arm (10) in order to allow the ring and the arm to rotate in unison and to allow for easy dismantling and assembling with out the use a additional tools. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the key way on Guiles device to include a plurality of splines in view of Yang in order to allow the ring and the arm to rotate in unison and to allow for easy dismantling and assembling with out the use a additional tools.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Calderazzo(481) and JP('569876) disclose a drive mechanism of a bicycle.

Application/Control Number: 09/474,916 Page 6

Art Unit: 3682

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 01-03-2002 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive.

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are

moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Marcus Charles whose telephone number is (703) 305-

6877. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday -Thursday 7:30 am-600 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on (703) 308-3668. The fax phone numbers

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3597

for regular communications and (7030 305-3597 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

2168.

Marcus Charles Examiner

Art Unit 3682

March 24, 2002